


BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF ELECTIONS FOR THE CITY OF FREDERICK
Campaign Contributions and Expenditures Report

Summary Sheet

Report Filed Date: November 14, 2013 Office Sought: Alderman

Campaign Account: Friends of Daniel Cowell

- 1st Report Due by noon on Monday, August 12, 2013
All transactions from opening of account or last report through August 8, 2013
- 2nd Report Due by noon on Monday, October 7, 2013
All transactions from August 9, 2013 through October 3, 2013
- 3rd Report Due by noon on Tuesday, October 29, 2013
All transactions from October 4, 2013 through October 25, 2013
- 4th Report Due by close of business on Thursday, December 5, 2013
All transactions from October 26, 2013 through December 1, 2013
- Final Report To close campaign finance entity; the 4th report may be deemed final if this is also checked
All transactions from last report through disposal of remaining funds (see §7-23 of City Code)
Bank account must be closed



Candidate's Name: Daniel D. Cowell

Address: 2606 Carrington Way Frederick 21702
Street City Zip Code

Treasurer's Name: Dennis M. Cowell

Address: 4486 Tulip Tree Lane Middletown 21769
Street City Zip Code

Financial Institution: Suntrust Bank

Prior Balance:	\$ 5.12	Total In-Kind Contributions: \$ 371.75
+ Total Contributions:	\$ 150.00	
- Total Expenditures:	\$ 155.12	
= Balance:	\$ 0.00	← (Carry forward this balance to your next report)

Under penalty of perjury, we declare that we have examined this report, and to the best of our knowledge and belief it is complete and accurate.

Signature of Candidate

Signature of Treasurer

November 14, 2013 (Date)

November 14, 2013 (Date)

All Reports must bear original signatures.

Executive Summary

The winter of 1970-71 was a severe one for Great Britain, with a combination of factors that led to a significant economic and social impact. The weather was particularly harsh, with a long period of snow and ice, which disrupted transport and industry. This was compounded by a general economic downturn, with high unemployment and inflation. The combination of these factors led to a period of hardship for many people, particularly in the rural areas and in the north of the country.

The impact of the winter was felt in a number of ways. Firstly, there was a significant disruption to transport, with many roads closed and public transport services suspended. This led to a loss of income for many people, particularly those who relied on their jobs for their livelihood. Secondly, there was a significant impact on the economy, with many businesses closing and a general decline in output. This led to a rise in unemployment and a fall in living standards.

The winter also had a significant impact on society. There was a general sense of hardship and despair, with many people struggling to cope with the weather and the economic situation. This led to a rise in social problems, such as homelessness and mental health issues. The winter also had a significant impact on the environment, with a loss of wildlife and a general decline in the quality of the landscape.

The winter of 1970-71 was a difficult one for Great Britain, and its impact was felt in a number of ways. The combination of weather, economic factors, and social problems led to a period of hardship for many people. The winter also had a significant impact on the environment, with a loss of wildlife and a general decline in the quality of the landscape.

The winter of 1970-71 was a difficult one for Great Britain, and its impact was felt in a number of ways. The combination of weather, economic factors, and social problems led to a period of hardship for many people. The winter also had a significant impact on the environment, with a loss of wildlife and a general decline in the quality of the landscape.

The winter of 1970-71 was a difficult one for Great Britain, and its impact was felt in a number of ways. The combination of weather, economic factors, and social problems led to a period of hardship for many people. The winter also had a significant impact on the environment, with a loss of wildlife and a general decline in the quality of the landscape.

The winter of 1970-71 was a difficult one for Great Britain, and its impact was felt in a number of ways. The combination of weather, economic factors, and social problems led to a period of hardship for many people. The winter also had a significant impact on the environment, with a loss of wildlife and a general decline in the quality of the landscape.

The winter of 1970-71 was a difficult one for Great Britain, and its impact was felt in a number of ways. The combination of weather, economic factors, and social problems led to a period of hardship for many people. The winter also had a significant impact on the environment, with a loss of wildlife and a general decline in the quality of the landscape.

The winter of 1970-71 was a difficult one for Great Britain, and its impact was felt in a number of ways. The combination of weather, economic factors, and social problems led to a period of hardship for many people. The winter also had a significant impact on the environment, with a loss of wildlife and a general decline in the quality of the landscape.

The winter of 1970-71 was a difficult one for Great Britain, and its impact was felt in a number of ways. The combination of weather, economic factors, and social problems led to a period of hardship for many people. The winter also had a significant impact on the environment, with a loss of wildlife and a general decline in the quality of the landscape.

The winter of 1970-71 was a difficult one for Great Britain, and its impact was felt in a number of ways. The combination of weather, economic factors, and social problems led to a period of hardship for many people. The winter also had a significant impact on the environment, with a loss of wildlife and a general decline in the quality of the landscape.

The winter of 1970-71 was a difficult one for Great Britain, and its impact was felt in a number of ways. The combination of weather, economic factors, and social problems led to a period of hardship for many people. The winter also had a significant impact on the environment, with a loss of wildlife and a general decline in the quality of the landscape.

Expenditures

Campaign Account: Friends of Daniel Cowell

Date	Name and Address of Payee	Nature of Expenditure	Amount
10/30/13	USPS 1301 W. 7 th Avenue Frederick, MD 21702	100 stamps	\$46.00
11/5/13	The City of Frederick Parking Deck Church Street Frederick, MD	Fee	\$2.00
11/5/13	Arby's West Patrick Street Frederick, MD	Lunch for volunteers working polling places	\$27.51
11/5/13	Dunkin Donuts 1296 West Patrick Street Frederick, MD	Breakfast for volunteers working polling places	\$39.57
11/5/13	Panera Bread Frederick, MD 21701	Hot Chocolate for volunteer at polling place	\$2.96
11/6/13	McDonalds 1399 W 7 th Street Frederick, MD	Breakfast for campaign treasurer	\$7.93
11/6/13	Frederick County Republican Central Committee P.O. Box 282 Frederick, MD 21705	Balance to close campaign account	\$29.15
TOTALS THIS PAGE			\$155.12

Contents

Journal of Economic Surveys (2011) Vol. 25, No. 4, pp. 401–428

Page	Author(s)	Title	Page
401	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy	401
411	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	411
421	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	421
431	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	431
441	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	441
451	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	451
461	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	461
471	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	471
481	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	481
491	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	491
501	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	501
511	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	511
521	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	521
531	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	531
541	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	541
551	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	551
561	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	561
571	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	571
581	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	581
591	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	591
601	Michael McAleer, Shigeharu Ozaki, and Toshihiro Ueda	Bayesian forecasting of the Japanese economy: A review	601

In-Kind Contributions

Campaign Account: Friends of Daniel Cowell

Date Received	Name and Address of Contributor	Description of Contribution	Value of In-Kind Donation
10/27/13	Daniel Cowell 2606 Carrington Way Frederick, MD 21702	700 stamps purchased at Costco 10 Monocacy Blvd. Frederick, MD 21704	\$320.25
11/1/13	Rainbow Cleaners 2060 Yellow Springs Road #107 Frederick, MD 21702	Dry Cleaning 4 suits	\$51.50
TOTALS THIS PAGE			\$ 371.75

Table 1. Total population

(In thousands, unless otherwise indicated)

Year	Total population	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
1997	265,000	185,000	55,000	15,000	45,000
1996	264,000	184,000	54,000	14,000	44,000
1995	263,000	183,000	53,000	13,000	43,000
1994	262,000	182,000	52,000	12,000	42,000
1993	261,000	181,000	51,000	11,000	41,000
1992	260,000	180,000	50,000	10,000	40,000
1991	259,000	179,000	49,000	9,000	39,000
1990	258,000	178,000	48,000	8,000	38,000
1989	257,000	177,000	47,000	7,000	37,000
1988	256,000	176,000	46,000	6,000	36,000
1987	255,000	175,000	45,000	5,000	35,000
1986	254,000	174,000	44,000	4,000	34,000
1985	253,000	173,000	43,000	3,000	33,000
1984	252,000	172,000	42,000	2,000	32,000
1983	251,000	171,000	41,000	1,000	31,000
1982	250,000	170,000	40,000	1,000	30,000
1981	249,000	169,000	39,000	1,000	29,000
1980	248,000	168,000	38,000	1,000	28,000
1979	247,000	167,000	37,000	1,000	27,000
1978	246,000	166,000	36,000	1,000	26,000
1977	245,000	165,000	35,000	1,000	25,000
1976	244,000	164,000	34,000	1,000	24,000
1975	243,000	163,000	33,000	1,000	23,000
1974	242,000	162,000	32,000	1,000	22,000
1973	241,000	161,000	31,000	1,000	21,000
1972	240,000	160,000	30,000	1,000	20,000
1971	239,000	159,000	29,000	1,000	19,000
1970	238,000	158,000	28,000	1,000	18,000
1969	237,000	157,000	27,000	1,000	17,000
1968	236,000	156,000	26,000	1,000	16,000
1967	235,000	155,000	25,000	1,000	15,000
1966	234,000	154,000	24,000	1,000	14,000
1965	233,000	153,000	23,000	1,000	13,000
1964	232,000	152,000	22,000	1,000	12,000
1963	231,000	151,000	21,000	1,000	11,000
1962	230,000	150,000	20,000	1,000	10,000
1961	229,000	149,000	19,000	1,000	9,000
1960	228,000	148,000	18,000	1,000	8,000
1959	227,000	147,000	17,000	1,000	7,000
1958	226,000	146,000	16,000	1,000	6,000
1957	225,000	145,000	15,000	1,000	5,000
1956	224,000	144,000	14,000	1,000	4,000
1955	223,000	143,000	13,000	1,000	3,000
1954	222,000	142,000	12,000	1,000	2,000
1953	221,000	141,000	11,000	1,000	1,000
1952	220,000	140,000	10,000	1,000	1,000
1951	219,000	139,000	9,000	1,000	1,000
1950	218,000	138,000	8,000	1,000	1,000
1949	217,000	137,000	7,000	1,000	1,000
1948	216,000	136,000	6,000	1,000	1,000
1947	215,000	135,000	5,000	1,000	1,000
1946	214,000	134,000	4,000	1,000	1,000
1945	213,000	133,000	3,000	1,000	1,000
1944	212,000	132,000	2,000	1,000	1,000
1943	211,000	131,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1942	210,000	130,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1941	209,000	129,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1940	208,000	128,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1939	207,000	127,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1938	206,000	126,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1937	205,000	125,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1936	204,000	124,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1935	203,000	123,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1934	202,000	122,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1933	201,000	121,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1932	200,000	120,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1931	199,000	119,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1930	198,000	118,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1929	197,000	117,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1928	196,000	116,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1927	195,000	115,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1926	194,000	114,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1925	193,000	113,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1924	192,000	112,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1923	191,000	111,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1922	190,000	110,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1921	189,000	109,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1920	188,000	108,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1919	187,000	107,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1918	186,000	106,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1917	185,000	105,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1916	184,000	104,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1915	183,000	103,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1914	182,000	102,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1913	181,000	101,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1912	180,000	100,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1911	179,000	99,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1910	178,000	98,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1909	177,000	97,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1908	176,000	96,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1907	175,000	95,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1906	174,000	94,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1905	173,000	93,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1904	172,000	92,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1903	171,000	91,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1902	170,000	90,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1901	169,000	89,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1900	168,000	88,000	1,000	1,000	1,000